



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 12/10/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/157,884	09/21/1998	ANDRES VEGA-GARCIA	777.179US1	3059	
23460	7590 12/10/2003		EXAM	INER	
LEYDIG VOIT & MAYER, LTD TWO PRUDENTIAL PLAZA, SUITE 4900			PRIETO, BEATRIZ		
	STETSON AVENUE	4900	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO,	IL 60601-6780		2142	2 a	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary	09/157,884	VEGA-GARCIA ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	B. Prieto	2142		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earmed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	of (a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 M	av 2003.			
	action is non-final.			
Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	ce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-8,18-26,28,29 and 31-42</u> is/are	pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6) Claim(s) 1,2,4-8,18-26,28,29 and 31-42 is/are	ejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorical application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the since a specific reference was included in the firs 37 CFR 1.78.  a) The translation of the foreign language pro-	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). of the certified copies not received c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) t sentence of the specification or	on No  ed in this National Stage  ed.  e) (to a provisional application) in an Application Data Sheet.		
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	* *			
reference was included in the first sentence of the				

Attachment(s)

1)	$\boxtimes$	Notice	of F	References	Cited (	PT	O-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)

	ormal Patent Application	(PTO-152)
6) Dother:		

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).

## **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. This communication is in response to Response filed 02/24/03, claims 1-2, 4-8, 18-26, 28-29 and 31-42 remain pending in this application.
- 2. Acknowledgment is made to declaration filed under 37 CFR §1.131 filed 02/24/03 to swear behind the Bar et. al. reference.
- 3. Claim 23 is objected to under 37 CFR §1.75 as being a duplicate of claim 22.
- 4. Quotation of 35 U.S.C. §103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action may be found in previous action.
- 5. Claims 1, 18, 21, and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith et. al. U.S. Patent No. 6,128,649 (Smith hereafter) in view of ITU-T H.323 Centralized multipoint configuration/clarification, Northlich, B., Onlive Technologies, Inc., Feb. 1997 (Northlich hereafter).

Regarding claim 1, Smith substantial features of the invention as claimed, teaching a system/method in a network conferencing environment (Smith: abstract, col 1/lines 64-67) for delivering a plurality of video or audio media data type signals (Smith: Figs. 1-5a, audio and/or video media streams, col 3/lines 20-35) the system comprising;

transmitting a set of media data streams on to the network, set of media data streams generated from the plurality of video or audio type signals (Smith: abstract, video streams, distributing audio/video streams across the network, col 1/lines 53-67);

transmitting means include means for removing silences from said data streams of the audio signals transmitted by the transmitter (Smith: identifying silence stream, col 9/lines 5-9, removing said identified streams from data audio transmission stream by closing audio channel from originator);

a receiver for receiving the set of data stream from the network (Smith: col 1/lines 30-33, 53-57, 63-co 2/line 2, 25-27, col 4/lines 2/lines 30-36, 40-55);

the receiver including a selectively routing, filtering or separating media streams (i.e. demultiplexing) means (Smith: 1 of Fig. 21 for multiplexing, col 1/lines 53-62) for dynamically selecting a subset of the set of data streams (dynamic selection (13 of Fig. 21)) (Smith: col 6/lines 49-col 7/line 26, dynamic selection of multiple media streams, see abstract, and multiplexing means col 27/lines 31-55);

two or more receiver media data stream (payload) handler modules (Smith: col 20/line 11-col 21/line 25, reception processing modules, i.e. receiver, reception audio/video process modules, i.e. two, col 7/lines 35-48);

two receiving (Smith: media-in portion 20 of Fig. 5a, col 7/lines 35-39) including receivers coupled to said demultiplexing means for handling routed data streams (Smith: first reception means col 7/lines 58-67, having decoding (28) means, and second reception means col 8/lines 12-22);

two decoder modules coupled to the demultiplexing means for decoding routed data streams (Smith: col 20/lines 11-30) two or more type of data streams (Smith: first decoder see col 7/lines 58-67, second decoder see col 22/lines 61-67 associated with respective media type data processing modules (26/32 of Fig. 5b); although the above-mentioned prior art teach dynamically selection a subset of the subset of data stream, Smith does not explicitly teach wherein the selection is based on a source identifier and a payload type;

Northlich discloses a clarification to ITU-T recommendation H.323, this recommendation describes multiple terminals supporting the transmission by transmitters of multimedia type of data streams on the network and the reception by receivers of selectively parceled multimedia type of data streams in a multipoint conferencing environment, wherein all terminals support different media types those recommended in the H.323. Northlich clarifies a switch process pertaining the handling of data streams, disclosing demultiplexing data streams (RTP) based on SSRC and payload type, to include streams of video and/or audio channels in a conference environment (see page 2).

It would have been obvious to one ordinary skilled in the relevant art at the time the invention was made giving the suggestion of Smith for combining multiple audio/video streams received from multiple participant's in an conferencing network. One would have look at prior pertaining the delivery, separation, processing and rending of combined multimedia stream to multiple conferee recipients in a conference network. Northlich discussing pertaining standard technology in conferencing environment includes means for selecting a subset of the set of data stream based on a source identifier and a payload, as taught by Northlich. Combined teachings would enable one ordinary skilled to separate and route, i.e. multiplex set of data streams received based on source identifier and payload type to corresponding subsequent post reception processes such as forwarding to corresponding pre-rendering processors, e.g. corresponding codecs, motivation would be enable a terminal having different audio/video capabilities to support simultaneous session in multiple data stream types to mix both audio and/or video in a conferencing system.

Application/Control Nu Art Unit: 2142

Regarding claim 18, further teach a method of conducting a network conference with two or more computer systems (Smith: users 3 of Figs. 1-3 illustrating a conferencing network), comprising:

modules (Smith: modules 33-35 of Fig. 5B) for monitoring incoming audio data for each of a plurality of conference parties for active or inactive status (Smith: determining active media streams col 3/lines 61-col 4/line 11, with stream activity monitoring/detection means (33), determine state active change, col 9/lines 10-57, determining which said streams are silent or less active, Figs. 7-8);

monitoring incoming audio or video for a new speaker (Smith: new stream activity detection means, col 10/lines 44-col 1/line 19, stream activity associated with conference participant's GUI event (60, 70), col 9/lines 10-57);

replacing audio data having the inactive status with data of the new speaker (Smith: means for substituting a set of data from another (third) conference participant with data set from a respective determined inactive participant, comprising means for determining (150) the most silent stream to be replace, wherein in response to a positive determination replacing (dropped) said most silent stream with said (third) stream, col 10/line 43-col 11/line 19, replacing a silent stream with a another (third) data set associated with another participant, mean for detecting most recent speaker and performing substitution steps, col 19/lines 3-45);

receiving audio or video from first and second computer system (Smith: reception modules 28/34 for receiving payload streams from network see col 20/lines 11-23 from users on respective systems see col 1/lines 63-col 2/lines 6, Figs. 1-3 users 3);

routing the audio or video data to respective decoder based on determined audio or video payload type of the audio or video data stream and a source identifiers (Northlich; page 2).

Regarding claim 21, prior art teaches in a conferencing system:

receiving the set of data stream from the network operating under RTP, i.e. "RTP compliant data stream" (Smith: stream reception from the network see col 20/lines 11-23, reception/transmission processes are RTP compliant see col 21/lines 22-50);

dynamically selecting a subset of the set of data streams (Smith: dynamic selection means, col 6/lines 49-col 7/line 26, dynamic selection of multiple media streams, see abstract, and multiplexing means col 27/lines 31-55);

routing RTP data stream(s) based on payload type(s) and a source identifier (Northlich: page 2); two or more receiver media data stream (payload) handler modules (Smith: col 20/line 11-col 21/line 25, reception processing modules, reception audio/video process modules, col 7/lines 35-48);

specifically in regards to claim 21;

two decoder modules coupled to the demultiplexing means for decoding routed data streams (Smith: video decoder see col 7/lines 58-67 and 23/lines 1-11, media type based decoding see col 20/lines 11-30, audio decoder see col 22/lines 61-67);

a rendering means coupled to the decoder for playing back one RTP data stream (Smith: presentation of the resulting stream (after decoding stream) to output device for displaying, i.e. rendering or "playback" see col 20/lines 39-42, selecting streams from users 1,2, & 3 for display, see col 2/lines 25-27, for display on two or more user terminals see col 4/lines 2/lines 30-36, 40-55).

Regarding claim 24, the combined teachings as discussed above, teaches a network conferencing system comprising:

receiving means (Smith: 26/32 of Fig. 5b) for receiving via a communication network respective first and second sets of data of at least one payload type from respective first and second conference participant (Smith: col 1/lines 53-57, 63-col 2/line 2, 25-27);

first/second decoder for decoding payload type(s) of data (Smith: col 7/lines 58-67, col 22/lines 61-col 23/line 11 audio/video decoders);

means (e.g. demultiplexer) for routing data said received data to first or second decoder (Smith: media-in portion 20, col 7/lines 35-39, 58-67, col 8/lines 12-22);

two decoder modules coupled to the demultiplexing means for decoding routed data streams (Smith: col 20/lines 11-30) two or more type of data streams (Smith: first decoder see col 7/lines 58-67, second decoder see col 22/lines 61-67 associated with respective media type data processing modules (Smith: modules 26/32 of Fig. 5b) based on payload type and at least one source identifier (Northlich; page 2);

means (Smith: stream activity monitoring/detection modules 33-35 of Fig. 5b) include determining whether a set of data is associated with an inactive conference participant (Smith: determine if stream i.e. "sets of data" associated with a conference participant is active see col 3/lines 61-col 4/line 11, determine activity see col 9/lines 10-57);

means responsive to determination of the inactive conference participant, for substituting a third set of data from a third conference participant, for at least the one of the first and second sets of data associated with the inactive conference participant (Smith: substitution see col 10/line 43-col 11/line 19, replacing a silent stream with another speaker and performing substitution steps, col 19/lines 3-45).

6. Claims 2, 4-8, 19-20, 22-23, 25-26, 28-29, and 31-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith in view of Northlich in further view of H.323 ITU-T: Audiovisual and multimedia systems, Nov. 1996, pages 1-71 (referred to as H.323 hereafter).

Regarding claims 2, 4 and 8, combined teachings as discussed above, the neither Smith nor Northlich reference do not explicitly teach wherein the audio decoders are particularly of G.711 and G.723.1.

H.323 this recommendation describes multiple terminals supporting the transmission by transmitters of multimedia type of data streams on the network and the reception by receivers of selectively parceled multimedia type of data streams in a multipoint conferencing environment, wherein all terminals support different media types those recommended in the H.323 series (see summary on page (i), section 6.2-6.2.2 on page 11, Audio codec sec 6.2.5 on page 13). One channel for each type of media data stream type includes audio codes, G.7.11, G.722, G.728 and G. 723 (see page (i), Fig. 4 of section 6.2).

It would have been obvious to one ordinary skilled in the art at the time the invention was a made given the suggestion of Smith for using codec for encoding/decoding audio and video associated with respective audio/video reception processing modules (i.e. "type based payload handles") and the clarification of Northlich. One ordinary skilled in the art would have look at pertinent art directed to the processing of audio/video data in a conferencing environment. Audio Codecs particularly of G.711 and G.723, are known as standard. One ordinary skilled will be motivated to utilize audio decoders are particularly of G.711 and G.723 enhance the capabilities of the conference system enabling participants of different capabilities to communicate.

Regarding claim 5, the combined teachings as discussed above however do not explicitly teach for mixing an audio stream operatively coupled to the two or more corresponding decoders.

Official Notice (see MPEP § 2144.03 Reliance on "Well Known" Prior Art) is taken that a mixer was old and well known in the Data Processing art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to include a mixer for mixing audio stream, motivation would be to render a composite audio signal to the user.

Regarding claim 6, media rendering module operatively coupled to the decoder(s) (Smith: presentation of the resulting stream (after decoding stream) on an output device see 20/lines 39-42).

Regarding claim 7, wherein data processor(s) "payload handler(s)" includes: means for combining two or more data packets (Smith: mixing see col 1/lines 30-35, mixing see col 1/lines 63-col 2/line 2).

Regarding claim 19, decoding the audio or video data from the first and second computer systems (Smith: data received from two or more user terminals see col 1/lines 53-57, 63-col 2/line 2, decoding audio or video, video decoders see col 7/lines 58-67, media type decoding see col 20/lines 11-30, audio decoder see col 22/lines 61-67, video decoder see col 23/lines 1-11);

rendering the audio or video data from the first and second computer systems (Smith: selecting streams from users 1,2, & 3 for display, i.e. rendering see col 2/lines 25-27, for display on two or more user terminals see col 4/lines 2/lines 30-36, 40-55).

Regarding claim 20, the claim is substantially the same as claim 2, same rationale is applicable.

Regarding claims 22-23, a machine-readable medium comprising instruction for implementing the modules (Smith: software implementation of disclosed method see col 4/lines 37-39).

Regarding claim 25, this method claim comprises the combination of limitations claims 1, 4, 18-19, 21 and 24, as discussed above, same rationale of rejection is applicable.

Regarding claim 26, means (32 of Fig. 5b) receiving a plurality of audio data streams from a corresponding plurality of conference participants (Smith: col 1/lines 30-33, col 1/line 53-col 2/line 2);

means for selecting a subset of plurality of audio data streams of different types (Smith: selecting subset of data streams col 6/lines 49-col 7/line 26, dynamic selection of multiple media streams, see abstract, and multiplexing means col 27/lines 31-55;

audio payload of different types associated with respective encoding type (H.323: see summary on page (i), section 6.2-6.2.2 on page 11, Audio codec sec 6.2.5 on page 13), one channel for each type of media data stream type includes audio codes, G.7.11, G.722, G.728 and G. 723 see page (i), Fig. 4 of section 6.2);

means for routing data received by said receiving means to the first or the second decoder module based on the payload type and at least one source identifier (Northlich: page 2);

means for rendering the selected subset of audio data streams (Smith: audio streams are sent to the users see col 1/lines 63-col 2/line 2, a single audio output is provided to the user from all input audio streams see col 8/lines 12-22, rendered audio see col 18/lines 17-23).

Regarding claim 28, wherein the selected subset of audio data stream includes a first audio data stream and a second audio data stream (Smith: selection means 13 of Fig. 21, col 6/lines 49-col 7/line 26, see

abstract, and multiplexing means col 27/lines 31-55), and wherein the system further comprises:

means (Smith: 33 of Fig. 6) for determining whether one or more of the first and second audio data streams is associated with an inactive conference participant (Smith: determining activity of streams see col 3/lines 61-col 4/line 11, using stream activity monitoring/detection means (33), see col 9/lines 10-57, determining streams are silent or less active, Figs. 7-8);

means, responsive to determination of the inactive conference participant, for substituting a third audio data stream from a third conference participant, for at least the one of the first and second audio data streams associated with the inactive conference participant (Smith: means for substituting a set of data from another (third) conference participant with data set from a respective determined inactive participant, comprising means for determining (150) the most silent stream to be replace, wherein in response to a positive determination replacing (dropped) said most silent stream with said (third) stream, col 10/line 43-col 11/line 19, replacing a silent stream with a another (third) data set associated with another participant, mean for detecting most recent speaker and performing substitution steps, col 19/lines 3-45).

Regarding claim 29, this claim comprises limitations that are substantially the same as claim 26, same rationale is applicable.

Regarding claim 31, this claim is comprises limitations that are substantially the same as claim 28, same rationale of rejection is applicable.

Regarding claim 32, this claim comprises the combined limitation of claims 26, and 28-29 same rationale of rejection is applicable.

Regarding claim 33, this claim comprises limitations that are substantially the same as combined claim 27, same rationale is applicable.

Regarding claims 34-36, the combined teachings as discussed above, further teach

wherein the selected subset includes a first video data stream formatted according to a first protocol an a second video data stream formatted according to a second protocol (H.323: summary page

(i) and sections 6.2-6.2.2 on page 11), wherein the data streams in the selected subset are most recently activate data streams (Smith: col 1/lines 63-col 2/line 2),

selection based on monitored activity, event detection data stream activity (Smith: col 19/lines 3-21, most recent audio data stream activity associated with a participant, col 19/lines 22-45, wherein the first and second sets of data streams are audio signal data of a multicast group of (e.g. dialogue) between two or more participants).

Regarding claims 37-42, synchronization source identifier (Northlich: col 13/lines 3-24).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Prieto, B. whose telephone number is (703) 305-0750. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 6:00 to 3:30 p.m. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's Supervisor, Jack B. Harvey can be reached on (703) 305-9505. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3800/4700.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to the Central Fax Office:

(703) 872-9306, for Official communications and entry;

Or Telephone:

(703) 306-5631 for TC 2100 Customer Service Office.

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington VA, Fourth Floor (Receptionist), further ensuring that a receipt is provided stamped "TC 2100".

Fluik B. Prieto TC 2100 Patent Examiner